## Peanut Allergy: An epidemiologic analysis of a large database

| Rationale: This study describes one of the largest, well characterized, databases of children seen at a major children's hospital with peanut allergy (PA) or peanut sensitization (PS). |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| IRB approved peanut database. |  |
|  | Results: Over a 3 year period (2011-2014) 700 PA/PS children seen in the allergy clinic registered. Demographic features; $64 \%$ were male, $80 \%$ were white and $10 \%$ were Afric American. Medicaid covered $17 \%$.Atopic dermatitis was diagnosed in $61 \%$ and asthma Having a sibling with PA/PS occurred in $14 \%$. A second food sensitivity/allergy occurre $71.4 \%$; milk in $20 \%$, egg in $43 \%$, and tree nuts in $39 \%$. The current average age of this population is 6.9 years. |
|  | PA/PS features; $34 \%$ had a positive test for peanut and no history of a reaction. In this group $86 \%$ had no peanut exposure. The average age in those with a reaction was 2 yrs. Reactions the 463 children included anaphylaxis ( $37 \%$ ), contact urticaria ( $28 \%$ ), and diffuse urticaria $(18.1 \%)$. In those who had peanut specific IgE performed (ImmunoCap, $\mathrm{kU} / \mathrm{L}$ ) the average values were; positive test only $=15$, anaphylaxis $=25$, diffuse urticaria $=17$, and contact urticaria $=13$. There were 11 reports of a second reaction that differed from the first reactio anaphylaxis occurred in 6 |
| Conclusions: Over 3 years we have seen a significant number of children with PA/PS who hav undergone an extensive evaluation. This analysis supports established observations and reveal new associations in this population of children. |  |
| g |  |
| Of all the foods responsible for significant allergic reactions and/or for the potential of an allergic reaction, the peanut stands alone. |  |
| - In the practice of pediatric allergy, more children are seen for: |  |
| 1. Peanut Allergy (PA): symptoms with exposure |  |
| - Epidemiologic studies have looked at the peanut allergy in the general population and in other groups of children (food allergic, asthma). There is a paucity of information from allergy practices. |  |

Materials and Methods
The parents of children with newly diagnosed PA/PS or with established PA/PS were
asked to participate in a databese
The database was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Indiana University
School of Medicine. School of Medicine.
Skin prick tests STSTs)

Performed with the Greer probe
Extracts were from Holister-Stier and Greer Laboratories

- Histasmere was 0.1 mg/mil obtained from ALK
- Scoring
$\quad \begin{aligned} & \quad{ }^{3+=}=\text { wheal } \geq \text { histamine contra } \\ & \text { 4+ } \\ & \text { Measured SParl }+ \text { pseudopods }\end{aligned}$
Electronic calipers were used
Largest diameter of the
SPSS version 22 was used for statistical evaluatio
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Clinical Presentation of Peanut Allergy and Specific IgE to Peanut (median values kU/L)


Tree Nut Allergy/Sensitivity


Clinical Features


Second Reactions to Peanut ( $\mathrm{n}=18$ )


Observations
Our PAPSP population was $78.7 \%$ white, $64 \%$ male, and $79.7 \%$ private insurance
supported.
The areage age of peanut exposuref for those who reacted was 2 years. Atopic dermatitis was noted in $61 \%$ and asthma in 4.4 .7
Additional food allergy/sensitization was seen in $71.6 \%$ with egg affecting $42.7 \%$ and Additional tood allergysisnsit
tree nuts $39 \%$ of the chidren.
Measurements of the wheal skin test response did not differ between the various
presentations of PAPPS.
Specific IgE to peanut was not significantly different betwen the groups with the
exception of those few who reported a flare of atopic dermatitis with peanut expos eception of hose few who rep Reports of second reactions were infrequent and were different from the original presentation.

## Summary

There are numerous population studies on PAPS and not many that evaluate the sen in an allergist's office.
This is a report on 700 children who had a history of a real or potential problem with peanuts.
Pa can take many forms, anaphylaxis, uricaria, angioedema, contact urticaria,
atopic dermatitis, or orall/respiritoryy(Iastrointestinal symptoms. atopic dermaiis, or orailrespiratory gastrointesinal semploms.
In those with PS, wheal responses and specific IgE are not significantly different from those with PA.
-ceond reactions are infrequent and can be ceen in thase with $P$ S
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