Modulation of sporadic colorectal cancer risk by polymorphisms and haplotypes of mismatch repair genes

Tulupova E., Kumar R.*, Slyskova J., Pardini B., Polakova V., Naccarati A., Vodickova L., Novotny J.**, Hemminki K.*, Vodicka P.



Institute of Experimental Medicine, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague, Czech Republic *German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany **1st Medical Faculty, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

Introduction:

Aim of the study:

>The DNA mismatch repair (MMR) system plays a key role in maintenance of genomic stability, cell cycle arrest and > to assess the tentative risk of sporadic CRC associated with polymorphic variants and haplotypes of MMR genes hMLH1, hMSH2, induction of apoptosis in response to DNA damage. Inactivation of MMR leads to microsatellite instability (MSI) [1] and is hMSH3, hMSH6, and hEXO1 using a hospital-based study in population from the Czech Republic. associated with hereditary and sporadic human cancers (Fig. 1). hMSH6 >Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most common cancers, accounting annually for 1.200.000 newly diagnosed cases and Figure 2. Interaction regions between MMR proteins over 525.000 deaths worldwide. Incidence rate of CRC in the Czech Republic is one of the highest in the world [2]. hMSH3 (adapted from G. Villani and >The GWA scans provide evidence for the role of low penetrance variants in "common disease - common variant" model o N. Tanguy Le Gac. 2001) hMSH2 CRC predisposition on a population level [3]. hExol >The functional relevance of majority of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the MMR genes is not known. SNPs ma influence biochemical interactions between components of the MMR pathway (Fig. 2) or their epigenetic regulation [4,5]. hMLH1 Figure 1. Role of MMR in carcinogenesis E14 colorectal cancer cases from the Czech Republic **Study population:** ≻614 controls undergoing colonoscopy for various gastrointestinal complaints matched with cases by sex and age



Polymorphisms studied: ten SNPs located in coding and non-coding regions of genes hMLH1, hMSH2, hMSH3, hMSH6 and hEXO1 with a possible functional effect according to association and/or in vitro studies (Table 1).

- Genotyping: using Tagman assays and PCR-RFLP on DNA from peripheral blood lymphocytes of CRC patients and controls.
- Statistical analysis: multivariate logistic regressions for estimation of the association between each genotype and risk of CRC (gender and age as covariates). Separate analyses were carried out following the stratifications for smoking and for tumor localization.
- > Haplotype analysis: SAS/Genetics software module
- Linkage disequilibrium calculation: Haploview software (www.broad.mit.edu/mpg/haploview/documentation.php).

Table 1. Studied SNPs with minor allele frequencies (MAF) in different population sidents with European ancestry

•(http:// www.hapmap.org) **data for SNP500 CAUC1 pc described Caucasian heritage (http://snp500cancer.nci.nih.gov)

ns	Gene	Position and nucleotide change	db number	Amino acid change	Taqman assay ID	MAF (HAPMAP Ceu population*)/MAF in the CZ population
	hMLH1	-93G>A	rs1800734	No change	C_7535141_1_	0.200/0.232
		IVS9-1406C>T	rs4647269	-	C_29968609_10	0.475/0.456
	hMSH2	IVS12-6 T>C	rs2303428		C_11804019_1	0.108/0.078
		Ex6+23 G>A	rs4987188	Gly322Asp	not available	0.025/0.018
	hMSH3	Ex4-100G>A	rs1805355	Pro231Pro	C_11434406_10	0.058/0.070
		Ex23+3 G>A	rs26279	Ala1045Thr	C_800002_1_	0.217/0.287
	hMSH6	-556G>T	rs3136228	-	C_28985526_10	0.405/0.362
		Ex1-145G>A	rs1042821	Gly39Glu	not available	0.207*/0.196
		IVS4-101G>C	rs2072447	-	C_22273199_10	0.237/0.291
	EXO1	Ex12+49C>T	rs4149963	Thr439Met	C_25762095_10	0.075/0.098



K-ras mutation

B-catenin mutatio

Figure 3. SNPs in MMR genes in CRC patients stratified for tumor location and in controls 1,60 1,20 ORs 0,80 Colon cancer risk ∎Rectum cance risk CRC risk 0.40 P<0,05 Martin Contraction of the second seco IMAN NAMOR INSTRUCTOR DE MAR ENERGY SEA INSTS BANDERA IMPERS DECEMBER A INSTRACT STRAFT WHIT IS AND hEPO1 Extrapo MILH SSOT SNPs

Table 2. Haplotypes of hMSH6 (-556G>T - Ex1-145G>A - IVS4-101G>C) in CRC patients

stratified for tumor location and in controls

1.03 (0.80-1.33)

1.17 (0.95-1.45)

1.36 (0.61-3.00)

1.10 (0.90-1.34)

1.15 (0.45-2.90)

2.23 (0.42-12.52)

Univariate ORs (95% CD)

Color

114

13

264

4

LH1 gei

AF mutatio

л

Mutations in non-coding regions

Tumoriaenesis

the hMSH6 gene region (www.hapmap.org)

Figure 4. Linkage disequilibrium structure of

Figure 5. Linkage disequilibrium D' (R2) between	
polymorphisms in the hMSH6 gene	

-556G>T	Ex1-145G>A	IVS4-101G>C	
1	0.92 (0.11)	0.89 (0.22)	-556G>T
	1	0.65 (0.04)	Ex1-145G>A
		1	IVS4-101G>C

≻The T-allele of the *hMSH6* -556G>T polymorphism was associated with Trisk of CRC (OR 1.29; 95% CI 1.02-1.62), confined to rectal cancer in particular (OR 1.42; 95% CI 1.03-1.95)

> The A-allele of the *hMSH6* Ex1-145G>A polymorphism was associated with risk of CRC (OR 0.76; 95% CI 0.60-0.98)

>The C-allele of the hMSH6 IVS4-101G>C polymorphism was associated with risk of colon cancer (OR 1.34; 95% CI 1.03-1.74).

The variant allele for the polymorphism *hMLH1* IVS9-1406C>T exhibited risk of rectal cancer (OR 0.71; 95% CI 0.51-0.98)

>The haplotype TAG based on three hMSH6 polymorphisms (-556G>T - Ex1-145G>A - IVS4-101G>C) in was associated with, risk of CRC (OR 0.74; 95% CI 0.59-0.92; global P=0.02).

The most frequent haplotype GGG was associated with risk of rectal cancer (OR 1.32; 95% CI 1.05-1.65).

Conclusions:

Haplotypes

TGC

TGC

TAC

GGG

GGC

GAG

Controls

218

16

413

13

3

After correction for multiple hypotheses testing our results cannot be considered as statistically significant. >In general, our data suggest a limited role for the investigated individual variants in MMR genes for the susceptibility to CRC. The haplotypes covering hMSH6 gene may be involved in

Rectum

66

4

4

risk modulation in studied population > Considering the importance of the MIMR genes in the aetiology of CRC further studies with pooled data may determine if the common variants in the genes per se or in combination with other variants play any role in the disease pre-disposition.

Univariate

ORs (95% CD)

.35 (0.97-1.85

1.06 (0.83-1.36)

0.65 (0.18-2.08)

0.60 (0.14-2.25)

3.50 (0.66-19.69)

References:

- 1. D.L. Worthley et al., World J. Gastroenterol. (2007)
- 2. D.M. Parkin et al., CA Cancer J. Clin. (2005) I. Tomlinson et al., Nature Gen. (2008)
- I. Gazzoli et al., Mol. Cell Biol. (2003)
- 5. H. Chen et al., Int. J. Cancer (2007)